



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,870	08/17/2001	Andrew W. Buffmire	182480-0002	6579
50787	7590	10/22/2008	EXAMINER	
STRADLEY RONON STEVENS & YOUNG, LLP			HASHEM, LISA	
30 VALLEY STREAM PARKWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREAT VALLEY CORPORATE CENTER				
MALVERN, PA 19355-1481			2614	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/22/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/932,870	BUFFMIRE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LISA HASHEM	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LISA HASHEM (PTO personnel). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Keven Goldstein (applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Pat Appl. Pub 2002/0128769 by Der Ghazarian.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented newly, amended claim 1 for review including the new limitation "...wherein the intrinsic material does not include any conductive wires..." and "...there are no conductive wires within the intrinsic pavement material..." It seems these limitations are not found in the prior art filed in the final office action on 4-17-2008 and a new search will have to be conducted. Applicant will file a RCE with the new limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lisa Hashem/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Fan Tsang/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614